MAILED

Paper No.

MAY 2 5 2004

Filed by: Me

Merits Panel Box Interference

Washington, D.C. 20231

Tel: 703-308-9797 Fax: 703-308-7953

PAT & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

ANDREW SIMON CRAIG, VICTOR WITOLD JACEWICZ and MICHAEL URQUHART Junior Party¹

v.

FRANCISCUS BERNARDUS GEMMA BENNEKER, FRANS VAN DALEN,
JACOBUS MARIA LEMMENS, THEODORUS HENDRICUS ANTONIUM PETERS
and FRANTISEK PICHA
Senior Party²

Patent Interference No. 105,009

JUDGMENT - RULE 640

Before Metz, Gardner Lane and Moore, <u>Administrative Patent</u> Judges.

Metz, Administrative Patent Judge.

Application 09/299,060, filed April 23, 1999, now U.S. Patent Number 6,063,927, issued on May 16, 2000, and U.S. Application Serial Number 09/469,902 filed on December 22, 1999. Said patent accorded benefit of U.S. Application Serial Number 09/299,060 and filed on April 23, 1999. Both said patent and application accorded benefit of U.K. Application Number 9902935.7, filed on February 10, 1999. Assigned to SmithKline Beecham PLC,

Application Serial Number 09/200,743, filed on November 30, 1998. Accorded benefit of U.S. Application Serial Number 08/872,023 and filed on June 10, 1997 and now U.S. Patent Number 5,874,447, issued on February 23, 1999. Assigned to Synthon BCT Technologies, L.L.C.

We found in our "DECISION ON PRELIMINARY MOTIONS" filed on even date with this judgment that the preliminary statement of Craig et al., the junior party, failed to overcome the effective filing date of Benneker et al., the senior party. Accordingly, it is appropriate to enter judgment against the junior party, Craig et al. It is

ORDERED that judgment as to the subject matter of Count 1, the sole count in this interference, is entered against Andrew Simon Craig, Victor Witold Jacewicz and Michael Urquhart, the junior party.

FURTHER ORDERED that Andrew Simon Craig, Victor Witold

Jacewicz and Michael Urquhart, the junior party, are not entitled
to claims 1 and 3 of their patent involved in this interference,

U.S. Patent Number 6,063,927, and designated as corresponding to
Count 1.

FURTHER ORDERED that Andrew Simon Craig, Victor Witold

Jacewicz and Michael Urquhart, the junior party, are not entitled
to a patent containing claims 155 through 203 of their
application for patent involved in this interference, Serial

Number 09/469,902, filed on December 22, 1999, and designated as
corresponding to Count 1.

FURTHER ORDERED that judgment as to the subject matter of
Count 1, the sole count in this interference, is awarded to
Franciscus Bernardus Gemma Benneker, Frans Van Dalen, Jacobus
Maria Lemmens, Theodorus Hendricus Antonium Peters and Frantisek
Picha, the senior party.

FURTHER ORDERED that Franciscus Bernardus Gemma Benneker,
Frans Van Dalen, Jacobus Maria Lemmens, Theodorus Hendricus
Antonium Peters and Frantisek Picha, the senior party, are
entitled to a patent containing claims 30 through 38 of their
application for patent involved in this interference, application
Serial Number 09/200,743, filed on November 30, 1998, and
designated as corresponding to Count 1.

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties attention is directed to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.666; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be filed in the respective involved applications and patent of the parties.

ANDREW H. METZ

Administrative Patent Judge)

SALLY GARDNER LANE

Administrative Patent Judge)

) BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND

) INTERFERENCES

Administrative Patent Judge)

AHM/gjh

cc (via Federal Express):

Attorney(s) for Craig et al.

Herbert Mintz FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 1300 I Street N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005-3315

Attorney(s) for Benneker et al.

Mark E. Waddell CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112

INTERFERENCE DIGEST

W. 1. 4

Interference No.	105,009	Paper No. 26
Name: Andrew S	. Craig et al.	
Serial No.: 09	/469,902	Patent No.
Title: NOVEL CO	OMPOUND	
Filed: 12/22/99		
Interference with	Benneker et a	1.
	ļ	DECISION ON MOTIONS
Administrative Pa	atent Judge,	Dated,
		FINAL DECISION rferences, Adverse Dated,
Court,		Dated,
		REMARKS

This should be placed in each application or patent involved in interference in addition to the interference letters.